

# STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF PRUNING LEVEL, GROWTH REGULATOR CPPU AND THE ADDITION OF ORGANIC FERTILIZER ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF VEGETATIVE GROWTH AND LEAF CONTENT OF (K-P-N) FOR THE GRAPES (VITIS VINIFERA L.) VAR. OLIVETTE NOIER

J.N.AQ. Al-bayati\* and I.M.H. Al-bayati

Department of Horticulture and Landscape Gardening, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq \*Email : gehannaji24@gmail.com

### Abstract

The study was carried out in one of the orchards of the Yaiji District, Kompetler village in Kirkuk Governorate for the season 2019-2020, on the grape variety Olivette Noier variety cultivated on the cabin, to understand the response of grapevines to three levels of pruning (8-11-14 eye/ vine) with a fixed number of canes (10 cane/ vine). As well as, the spraying growth regulator CPPU with three concentrations (0, 2.5 and 5 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) and the addition of organic fertilizer with three concentrations (0, 3 (recommended) and 4.5 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) and their effect on vegetative growth characteristics according to the split-plot design with three replicates. The results showed that the pruning level (140 eyes/ vine) caused a significant increase in the characteristics of the number of leaves, as it reached (551.6 leaves/ vine), while the percentage of dry matter in leaves by 24.24%. Besides, the pruning level (80 eyes/ vine) exceeded in the leaf area, leaf content of chlorophyll, leaf content of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium by (19.36 cm<sup>2</sup>, 421.4 mg.100 g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight 2.489%, 0.803%, and 1.922%), restively. Also, spraying with CPPU at a concentration (5 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) caused a significant increase in the characteristics of the number of leaves, the percentage of dry matter in leaves, the leaf area, leaf content of chlorophyll, leaf content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by (651.3 leaves/ vine, 29.70%, 23.16 cm<sup>2</sup>, 280.4 mg.100 g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, 2.763%, 0.918%, and 2.038%), respectively. Whereas the addition of liquid organic fertilizer at the concentration (4.5 ml.L<sup>-1</sup>) caused a significant increase in the characteristics of the number of leaves, percentage of dry matter in leaves, leaf area, leaf content of chlorophyll, leaf content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by (546.4 leaves/ vine, 24.56%, 18.86) cm<sup>2</sup>, 235.1 mg.100 g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, 2.372%, 0.731%) and 1.883%), respectively. The results also confirm that all the bilateral interactions recorded significant differences except the bilateral interaction between  $(M \times E)$  in the characteristic and the leaf content of chlorophyll did not record significant differences, while the leaf content of potassium, it did not record significant differences between the bilateral interactions. The results also confirm between the triple interactions that there were significant differences between all the characteristics under study.

Keywords: Pruning level, Growth Regulator CPPU, The addition of organic fertilizer, Grapes Olivette Noier Variety.

#### Introduction

Grapes (Vitis vinifera L.) is one of the oldest cultivation of plants known to humans, which cultivated in most countries of the world. In Iraq, its cultivation has spread since ancient times and is considered one of the oldest grapegrowing habitats in the world, and its cultivation has been known in the cabin during the Assyrians 'era before 2440 BC (Al-Saeedi, 2000). However, it has many varieties are all beneficial, and everything in its fruits from dandruff, pulp, and seeds is beneficial, as it has a laxative effect on the intestine and reduces the incidence of heart, liver, and colon diseases to show its properties against infections. Besides, its juice has many benefits that help to dissolve urolithiasis and for treating the diarrhea and pulmonary lesions (Al-Mawsly, 2012). Statistics of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations showed that the areas planted with grapes in the world were 181658 hectares and the production amount reached 78901866 tons of grapes for the year (2017) (FAO, 2018). The production of grapes in Iraq was estimated to (99444) tons for the summer season (2017), and the average productivity of one tree was (28.16) kg for the year

(2017) (Central Agricultural Statistics System, 2018). Several researchers have found, through recent studies and researches, that annual pruning, using the foliar application of growth regulator CPPU, and the addition of organic fertilizer to the soil has overcome some basic and major problems in decreasing the characteristics of vegetative growth (Zoffoli et al., 2009). Pruning is one of the most important agricultural processes upon which the success of cultivating and producing grapes depends and leads to a balance between vegetative and fruiting growth. It works to open the heart of the vine to light and air to reach every part of it, which increases the absorption of water and food and strengthens it and makes it carried good branches and fruits with a regular shape (Qasim et al., 2012). In a study of (Atrushi, 2009) on Zark grapes variety with three levels of pruning by 16-24-32 eye/ vine, that the level 32 eye/ vine exceeded in the number of total leaves and the dry weight of leaves, while the level 16 eye/vine exceeded in the chlorophyll content. Moreover, (Ameer, 2013) found through a study on grape Flame Seedless variety with three levels of pruning were 2, 3, 4 and 5 eyes on the cross-section of the

stem  $/ \text{ cm}^2$ , that the level 2 eye exceeded on the rest of the levels in the leaf area, nitrogen and phosphorous reached (0.34-0.36%) and the potassium in the leaves. (Farhan et al., 2018) pointed out upon studying on four levels of pruning (2-4-5-6 eye/cane) on the local grape, white intensity variety that the pruning of 5 eye/cane caused a significantly increased in the number of leaves the leaf area. Also, (Al-Atrushy, 2019) observed through studying on the Mirane grape variety with four levels of pruning (36-44-48-64 eye/ vine), that level 36 eye/ vine caused a significant increase in the leaf area and the total chlorophyll content. Among the foliar application of plant growth regulators that leads to improving the quality, size of grape grains, and vegetative growth (Nampila, 2010). The CPPU is a type of industrial Cytokinines and its common name is Forchlorfenuron, and its molecular formula  $C_{12}H_{10}CIN_3O$ , which is a white crystalline substance that was used in increasing vegetative growth and consequently increases the amount of yield because it increases cell division (Dimovska, 2014). (Bhat et al., 2011) stated through their using growth regulators with the following concentrations (2 PPM of CPPU + 0.4 PPM of Brassinosteriod + 25 PPM of GA) on Flame Seedless seedlings that, the above concentrations caused a significant increase in the number of leaves, leaf area and the dry matter content in the leaves. Furthermore, (AL-ahbaby 2016) observed that there was a significant increase in the variety Halawani in terms of the number of leaves, leaf area, total chlorophyll, dry weight of leaves. In addition to leaf content of nitrogen and potassium during the studying of two varieties of grape Halawani and Vitis vinifera L. seedlings with a spraying interaction between the growth regulator (KT- 30 at a concentration of 10 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> + Brassinolide at a concentration of  $0.010 \text{ mg}.\text{L}^{-1}$ ). The addition of the organic fertilizer EVREGREEN- Plus organic fertilizer that consists of (NPK, organic acids, amino acids and seaweed), which is one of the humus compounds. It has many chemical properties among them it contribute to improving plant growth directly or indirectly because it works as a biostimulant (Al-Ta'i, 2010). It represents a medium that transferring nutrients from the soil to the plant and can bind with the positive ions and forming chelating compound and retaining ketones that are absorbable by the plant roots. As well as, stimulates the release of oxidizable substances that include insoluble substances in water such as tannins and beta-carotene and contains important nutrients, especially Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium. Besides, it improves soil structure and its physical and chemical properties and decrease the number of soil reaction (Al-Araji and Al-Hamdani, 2012). The adding of organic fertilizer consisting of (NP by 18:18) at four levels (0-2-4-6 g for seedling) on Vitis vinifera L. seedlings showed that level 4 g caused a significant increase in the number of leaves. Also, the leaf area, the dry weight of leaves, the total chlorophyll in the leaves, and the leaf content of nitrogen and phosphorus (Hammoud et al., 2013). Whereas (Birjely and Al-Atrushy, 2017) used organic and inorganic fertilizers on grapevines variety Vitis vinifera L. As (ammonium sulfate 100 g.tree<sup>-1</sup> + organic fertilizer 6 kg.tree<sup>-1</sup> + humic acid at a concentration of 4 g.tree<sup>-1</sup>) caused a significant increase in the leaf area, the dry weight of leaves, the leaf content of chlorophyll, and the leaf content of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium. As for variety (Olivette Noier), it is distinguished as one of the table grape varieties, as it has good conical or cylindricalconical clusters, the grains are oval, similar to the olive. As well as, the thick crust is covered with a thick waxy layer of a dark red color, the leaves are triple lobed and smooth on both sides, the flowers are normal hermaphrodites, and require a pruning with long- canes, ripening in July (Al-Saeedi, 2000). Finally, this study aims to find out the grapevines respond to the pruning level, spraying CPPU, the addition of liquid organic fertilizer to form an optimal vegetative growth balanced with the fruiting energy of the Olivette Noier grape variety.

#### **Materials and Methods**

This study was carried out during the growing seasons 2019-2020 in one of the orchards of the Yaiji District, Kompetler village in Kirkuk Governorate. The main aim of this research was to study the effect of pruning levels (80, 110 and 140 eye/ vine) symbolized as (M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, and M<sub>3</sub>), respectively, by 10 fruit canes at the end of January, the vines were at the age of 7 years and were placed on the cabin with a height of 2.15 m. As well as, spraying CPPU in three concentrations (0, 2.5, and 5 mg.  $L^{-1}$ ) symbolized as (C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, and  $C_3$ ), which sprayed twice at 15/3, and 30/3, respectively, in the early morning until full wetness, as for the comparison vines, they were sprayed with distilled water only. Finally, the adding of organic fertilizer at concentrations (0, 3) (recommended) and 4.5 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) symbolized as  $(E_1, E_2, and$  $E_3$ ), and was added on 10/3, 10/4, 10/5 after diluting it with a liter of distilled water, where it was added after making a half-circle hole near the stem of the vine. The split-plot design was used in this experiment, the main plots were used for the pruning level and the sub-plots were used for the CPPU, as for sub-sub-plot, they were used for the organic fertilizer with three replicates. Moreover, one grapevine was chosen as an experimental unit for each treatment, so the number of grapevines used equals (81) vines. The data were analyzed statistically using the commercial Gene state statistical program, the averages were compared using the least significant different LSD test at the 5% probability level (Mohammedi and Muhammadi, 2012), and the study included the following treatments:

#### The characteristics of vegetative growth

- 1- **The total number of leaves on the vine (leaf.vine**<sup>-1</sup>): it was calculated according to the following equation: *Total number of leaves = number of leaves for the main branches on the vine + the number of leaves for the side branches on the vine*
- 2- **Percentage of dry weight in leaves (%):** Completely grown leaves samples were taken by 10 leaves per vine, washed well with distilled water, then air-dried and weighed by the sensitive balance. Then placed in perforated paper bags and dried in an oven at a temperature of 70 °C for 72 hours until the weight is constant. Finally, the dry samples are weighed and the percentage of the dry weight to the fresh weight (Al-Sahaf, 1989) is calculated according to the following equation: -

Percentage of dry weight in leaves = (dry weight / fresh weight) x100

3- The leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>): The average full-width leaf area calculated by taking 10 leaves of the vine and weighed after separating the petioles from the leaves and the leaves were stacked on top of each other. Then perforated by a cork borer with a diameter of 1 cm with an area of 0.785 cm<sup>2</sup>, the leaves, and the cutting circles were placed in an oven at a temperature of 65 °C for 72 hours until the weight remains constant. The average leaf area was calculated as mentioned by (Dvornic, 1965) as follows:-

 $Leaf area (cm2) = \frac{dry \, leaf \, weight \, (g) \times area \, of \, the \, cutten \, circle(cm2)}{dry \, weight \, of \, the \, cutten \, circle \, (g)} + 10$ 

4- The leaf content of chlorophyll (mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight): The leaf content of chlorophyll was estimated according to the method of (Goodwin, 1976), as 10 leaves were taken from each vine and washed with distilled water. A weight of 0.2 g from the sample taken and placed in a dark glass bottle with the addition of 20 ml acetone at a concentration of 85% after adding sodium carbonate to prevent dye oxidation and left for 48 hours. Then the leachate was separated from the sediment using filter paper and was read it with a Spectrophotometer at the wavelengths of 645 and 663 nm, then the amount of total chlorophyll pigment was calculated (mg dye.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh leaf tissue) according to the following equation:

Total chlorophyll  $(mg.L - 1) = 20.2 \times D (645) + 8.02 \times D (663)$ Where:-

D = Optical density.

 $D_{(663)}$  = Optical absorption reading at 663 nm wavelength.

 $D_{(645)}$  = Optical absorption reading at 645 nm wavelength.

Then the amount of chlorophyll was converted from mg.  $L^{-1}$  to mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight according to the following equation: -

The amount of chlorophyll mg.100g - 1 =  $\frac{chlorophyll \text{ mg.L} - 1}{1000 \text{ x sample weight}} \times 100$ 

- 5- The leaf content of (N-P-K)%: The leaves were taken after separating their petioles from different areas and dried in an electric oven at a temperature of 65 ° C until the weight remained constant. They were crushed and 0.2 g of the crushed sample was taken, the samples were digested by adding 4 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid and 2 ml of concentrated perchloric acid (Jones and Steyn, 1973), where the elements were determinate as follows:
  - a. The Micro Kjeldahl device was used to determine the Nitrogen according to the method mentioned in (Jackson, 1958).
  - b. The ammonium molybdate was used to determine the phosphorus, where the measuring achieved by the Spectrophotometer device at a wavelength of 882nm, according to the method described in (Page, 1982).
  - c. The flame photometer was used to determine the Potassium according to the method mentioned in (Haynes, 1980).

#### Results

## The total number of leaves on the vine (leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>)

The results in Table 1 indicated that the pruning levels have a significant effect, as the treatment M<sub>3</sub> exceeded and reached (551.6 leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>) over the treatment  $M_1$  which amounted to (483.9 leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>). As for spraying CPPU, it resulted in significant differences, as the treatment C<sub>3</sub> was superior significantly and gave the highest averages, as it reached (651.3 leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>) over the treatment  $C_1$  which reached (393.1 leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>). As for the addition of organic fertilizer, the treatment E3 was exceeded and reached (546.4 leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>) over the treatment  $E_1$  that reached (491.4 leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>). The results also confirm that there were significant differences between the bilateral interactions ( $M \times$ E), where  $(M_3 \times E_3)$  was superior and achieved (572.2) compared to a treatment  $(M_1 \times E_1)$  which achieved (456.0), as well (C × E). The treatment (C<sub>3</sub> × E<sub>3</sub>) was superior and achieved (663.6) compared to the treatment ( $C_1 \times E_1$ ) that reached (353.6), while (M x C), the treatment ( $M_3 \times C_3$ ) was superior and achieved (686.0) compared to the treatment ( $M_1$ )  $x C_1$ ) that reached (354.8). As for the triple interactions, the results also showed that there were significant differences between the treatments, as the treatment  $(M_3 \times C_3 \times E_3)$  was exceeded and reached (693.5 leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>) compared to the comparison (M<sub>1</sub> x C<sub>1</sub> x E<sub>1</sub>) that reached (309.1 leaf.vine<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Percentage of dry weight in leaves (%)

The results in Table 2 indicated that the pruning levels have a significant effect in increasing the percentage of dry weight in leaves, as the treatment M3 exceeded and reached (24.24%) over the treatment M<sub>1</sub> which amounted to (22.62%). As for spraying CPPU, it resulted in significant differences, as the treatment  $C_3$  was superior significantly and gave the highest averages, as it reached (29.70%) over the treatment  $C_1$  which reached (17.63%). However, the addition of organic fertilizer, the results showed that the treatment E<sub>3</sub> was exceeded and reached (24.56%) over the treatment  $E_1$  that reached (22.05%). Also, the results confirm that there were significant differences between the bilateral interactions (M  $\times$  E), as (M<sub>3</sub>  $\times$  E<sub>3</sub>) was superior and achieved (25.04%) compared to a treatment  $(M_1 \times E_1)$  which achieved (21.13%), as well (C × E). The treatment (C<sub>3</sub> × E<sub>3</sub>) was superior and achieved (31.25%) compared to the treatment  $(C_1 \times E_1)$  that reached (17.32%), while (M x C), the treatment  $(M_3 \times C_3)$  was superior and achieved (31.60%) compared to the treatment  $(M_1 \times C_1)$  that reached (16.91%). As for the triple interactions, the results showed that there were significant differences between the treatments, as the treatment (M<sub>3</sub> x C<sub>3</sub> x E<sub>3</sub>) was exceeded and reached (31.83%) compared to the comparison  $(M_1 \times C_1 \times E_1)$  that reached (16.69%).

### Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>)

The results in Table 3 indicated that the pruning levels have a significant effect, as the treatment  $M_1$  exceeded and reached (19.36 cm<sup>2</sup>) over the treatment  $M_3$  which amounted to (17.54 cm<sup>2</sup>). As for spraying CPPU, it resulted in significant differences, as the treatment  $C_3$  was superior significantly and reached (23.16 cm<sup>2</sup>) over the treatment  $C_1$ which reached (14.09 cm<sup>2</sup>). The addition of organic fertilizer, the results showed that the treatment  $E_3$  was exceeded and reached (18.86 cm<sup>2</sup>) over the treatment  $E_1$  that reached (18.11 cm<sup>2</sup>). The results confirm that there were significant differences between the bilateral interactions (M × E), as the treatment (M<sub>1</sub> × E<sub>3</sub>) was superior and achieved (19.54 cm<sup>2</sup>) compared to a treatment (M<sub>3</sub> × E<sub>1</sub>) which achieved (17.13 cm<sup>2</sup>), as well (C × E). Furthermore, the treatment (C<sub>3</sub> × E<sub>3</sub>) was superior and achieved (23.33 cm<sup>2</sup>) compared to the treatment (C<sub>1</sub> x E<sub>1</sub>) that reached (13.36 cm<sup>2</sup>), while (M x C), the treatment (M<sub>3</sub> x C<sub>3</sub>) was superior and achieved (24.08 cm<sup>2</sup>) compared to the treatment (M<sub>1</sub> x C<sub>1</sub>) that reached (13.15 cm<sup>2</sup>). Finally, the results showed that there were significant differences between the treatments, as the treatment (M<sub>3</sub> x C<sub>3</sub> x E<sub>3</sub>) was exceeded and reached (24.28 cm<sup>2</sup>) compared to the comparison (M<sub>1</sub> x C<sub>1</sub> x E<sub>1</sub>) that reached (12.36 cm<sup>2</sup>).

# The leaf content of chlorophyll (mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight)

The results in Table 4 indicated that the pruning levels have a significant effect, as the treatment M<sub>1</sub> exceeded and reached (241.4 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) over the treatment  $M_3$  which amounted to (203.1 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight). As for spraying CPPU, it resulted in significant differences, as the treatment  $C_3$  was superior significantly and gave the highest averages reached (208.4 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) over the treatment  $C_1$  which reached (135.6 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight). The addition of organic fertilizer, the results showed that the treatment  $E_3$  was exceeded and reached (235.1 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) over the treatment  $E_1$  that reached (208.6 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight). The results showed that there were significant differences between the bilateral interactions  $(M \times E)$ , while the treatment  $(C \times E)$  was recorded significant differences. Treatment  $(C_3 \times E_3)$  was superior and achieved (289.3) compared to the treatment ( $C_1 \times E_1$ ) that reached (124.4), whereas (M x C), the treatment ( $M_3 \times C_3$ ) was superior and achieved (290.0) compared to the treatment  $(M_1 \times C_1)$  that reached (113.8 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight). As for the triple interactions, the results showed that there were significant differences between the treatments, as the treatment (M<sub>3</sub> x C<sub>3</sub> x E<sub>3</sub>) was exceeded and reached (296.1 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) compared to the comparison ( $M_1$  x  $C_1 \times E_1$ ) that reached (103.7 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight).

# Leaf content of nitrogen (%)

The results in Table 5 indicated that the pruning levels have a significant effect, as the treatment  $M_1$  exceeded and reached (2.489%) over the treatment  $M_3$  which amounted to (1.976%). As for spraying CPPU, it resulted in significant differences, as the treatment  $C_3$  was superior significantly and gave the highest averages reached (2.763%) over the treatment  $C_1$  that reached (1.813%). The addition of organic fertilizer, the results showed that the treatment  $E_3$  was exceeded and reached (2.372%) over the treatment  $E_1$  that reached (2.122%). The results showed that there were significant differences between the bilateral interactions (M × E), while the treatment (C × E) was recorded significant differences. Treatment ( $C_3 \times E_3$ ) was superior and achieved (2.827%) compared to the treatment ( $M_1 \times E_1$ ) that reached (1.666%), while ( $M \times C$ ), the treatment ( $M_3 \times C_3$ ) was superior and achieved (2.905%) compared to the treatment ( $M_1 \times C_1$ ) that reached (1.474%). As for the triple interactions, the results showed that there were significant differences between the treatments, as the treatment ( $M_3 \times C_3$ ) was x  $E_3$ ) was exceeded and reached (2.960%) compared to the comparison ( $M_1 \times C_1 \times E_1$ ) that reached (1.373%).

## Leaf content of phosphorus (%):

The results in Table 6 indicated that the pruning levels have a significant effect, as treatment M<sub>1</sub> exceeded and reached (0.803%) over the treatment M<sub>3</sub> which amounted to (0.629%). As for spraying CPPU, it resulted in significant differences, as the treatment C<sub>3</sub> was superior significantly and gave the highest averages reached (0.918%) over the treatment  $C_1$  that reached (0.499%). The addition of organic fertilizer, the results showed that the treatment  $E_3$  was exceeded and reached (0.731%) over the treatment E<sub>1</sub> that reached (0.671%). The results showed that there were significant differences between the bilateral interactions (M  $\times$ E), where the  $(M_1 \times E_3)$  reached (0.827%) compared to the treatment  $(M_3 \times E_1)$  that reached (0.609%), while the treatment (C  $\times$  E) was recorded significant differences. Furthermore, treatment  $(C_3 \times E_3)$  was superior and achieved (0.961%) compared to the treatment  $(C_1 \times E_1)$  that reached (0.475%), whereas (M x C), the treatment (M<sub>3</sub> x C<sub>3</sub>) was superior and achieved (1.104%) compared to the treatment  $(M_1 \times C_1)$  that reached (0.456%). As for the triple interactions, the results showed that there were significant differences between the treatments, as the treatment  $(M_3 \times C_3)$ x  $E_3$ ) was exceeded and reached (1.117%) compared to the comparison ( $M_1 \times C_1 \times E_1$ ) that reached (0.438%).

# Leaf content of potassium (%)

The results in Table 7 indicated that the pruning levels have a significant effect, as the treatment M<sub>1</sub> exceeded and reached (1.922%) over the treatment  $M_3$  which amounted to (1.823%). As for spraying CPPU, it resulted in significant differences, as the treatment C<sub>3</sub> was superior significantly and gave the highest averages reached (2.038%) over the treatment  $C_1$  that reached (1.678%). The addition of organic fertilizer, the results showed that the treatment  $E_3$  was exceeded and reached (1.883%) over the treatment E<sub>1</sub> that reached (1.853%). The results showed that there were no significant differences between the bilateral interactions for treatments. As for the triple interactions, the results showed that there were significant differences between the treatments, as the treatment (M<sub>3</sub> x C<sub>3</sub> x E<sub>3</sub>) was exceeded and reached (2.113%) compared to the comparison (M<sub>1</sub> x C<sub>1</sub> x  $E_1$ ) that reached (1.638%).

Total number of leaves on the vine (leaf. vine <sup>-1</sup> )							
Drumin a lovela	CDDU ma I ·1	Or					
Fruining levels	CFFU ling.L	$\mathbf{E_1}$	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	MAC		
	C <sub>1</sub>	309.1	335.6	419.9	354.8		
<b>M</b> <sub>1</sub>	$C_2$	468.4	478.6	508.5	485.2		
	C <sub>3</sub>	590.5	615.7	629.4	611.8		
	C <sub>1</sub>	357.2	382.7	439.0	393.0		
$M_2$	$C_2$	484.6	523.0	536.1	514.6		
	C <sub>3</sub>	644.2	655.8	667.9	656.0		
	C <sub>1</sub>	394.4	445.3	455.2	431.6		
M <sub>3</sub>	$C_2$	495.0	548.6	568.0	537.2		
	C <sub>3</sub>	679.3	685.1	693.5	686.0		
LSD 0.05		4.373			2.694		
Organic ferti	lizer averages	491.4	518.9	546.4			
LSD	0.05		1.467				
		С	x E				
		E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	C averages		
(	$\Sigma_1$	353.6	387.8	438.0	393.1		
(	$\mathbb{C}_2$	482.6	519.7	537.5	512.3		
(	$\mathbb{C}_3$	638.0	652.2	663.6	651.3		
LSD	LSD 0.05		2.580				
		М	I x E				
		$E_1$	$E_2$	$E_3$	M averages		
M1		456.0	476.6	519.2	483.9		
Ν	12	459.3	520.5	547.7	512.2		
Ν	13	522.9	559.7	572.2	551.6		
LSD	0.05		2.537		1.605		

**Table 1 :** The effect of pruning levels, spraying CPPU and the addition of organic fertilizers and their interactions in the percentage of dry weight in leaves (%) of the Olivette Noier grape variety for the 2019 season

Table 2 : The effect of	pruning levels, spra	ying CPPU and the	e addition of	f organic	fertilizers	and their	interactions	in the
percentage of dry weight	in leaves (%) of the	Olivette Noier grap	e variety for t	the 2019	season			

Percentage of dry weight in leaves (%)						
Durning lovels	CDDU ma I ·1	Or	MVC			
r i uning levels	CPPU mg.L	E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	M X C 16.91 22.34 28.61 17.71 22.65 28.90 18.27 22.87 31.60 0.061 C averages 17.63	
	C <sub>1</sub>	16.69	16.88	17.17	16.91	
$M_1$	$C_2$	20.29	22.48	24.25	22.34	
	C <sub>3</sub>	26.41	28.64	30.79	28.61	
	C <sub>1</sub>	17.40	17.63	18.11	17.71	
$M_2$	$C_2$	20.81	22.64	24.49	22.65	
	C <sub>3</sub>	26.58	28.98	31.14	28.90	
	C <sub>1</sub>	17.88	18.35	18.58	18.27	
<b>M</b> <sub>3</sub>	$C_2$	21.02	22.87	24.71	22.87	
	C <sub>3</sub>	31.37	31.58	31.83	31.60	
LSD 0.05		0.090			0.061	
Organic ferti	lizer averages	22.05	23.05	24.56		
LSD	0.05	0.028				
		С	хE			
		$E_1$	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	C averages	
(	$\Sigma_1$	17.32	17.62	17.95	17.63	
(	$\mathbb{C}_2$	20.71	22.66	24.49	22.62	
(	23	28.12	29.73	31.25	29.70	
LSD	LSD 0.05		0.051			
M x E						
		E <sub>1</sub>	$E_2$	$E_3$	M averages	
Ν	11	21.13	22.67	24.07	22.62	
Ν	12	21.59	23.08	24.58	23.09	
Ν	13	23.43	24.27	25.04	24.24	
LSD	0.05		0.053		0.038	

	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )						
Durning lovels	CDDU ma I ·1	Or	MVC				
r i uning levels	CPPU mg.L	E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	IVI A C		
	C <sub>1</sub>	12.36	12.72	14.36	13.15		
$M_1$	$C_2$	17.31	17.53	18.09	17.64		
	C <sub>3</sub>	21.72	21.82	21.95	21.83		
	C <sub>1</sub>	12.86	14.76	14.52	14.04		
$M_2$	$C_2$	17.83	18.30	18.47	18.20		
	C <sub>3</sub>	23.38	23.59	23.76	23.58		
	C <sub>1</sub>	14.88	15.11	15.27	15.08		
<b>M</b> <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	18.80	18.91	19.07	18.93		
	C <sub>3</sub>	23.87	24.09	24.28	24.08		
LSD 0.05		0.059			0.034		
Organic ferti	lizer averages	18.11	18.54	18.86			
LSD	LSD 0.05		0.020				
		C	хE				
		E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	C averages		
(		13.36	14.20	14.72	14.09		
(	$\mathbb{C}_2$	17.98	18.25	18.54	18.25		
(	23	22.99	23.17	23.33	23.16		
LSD	LSD 0.05		0.035				
M x E							
		E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	M averages		
M1		19.18	19.37	19.54	19.36		
Ν	12	18.02	18.88	18.92	18.61		
Ν	13	17.13	17.36	18.13	17.54		
LSD	0.05		0.034		0.020		

**Table 3 :** The effect of pruning levels, spraying CPPU and the addition of organic fertilizers and their interactions in the leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) of the Olivette Noier grape variety for the 2019 season

Table 4 : The effect of pruning le	evels, spraying CPPU and the addition of organic fertilizers and their interactions in the leaf
content of chlorophyll (mg.100g <sup>-1</sup>	fresh weight) of the Olivette Noier grape variety for the 2019 season

Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg.100g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight)							
Dunning lovals	CDDU mg L <sup>-1</sup>	Or	<b>Organic fertilizer</b> (ml.L <sup>-1</sup> )				
Fruining levels	CFFU IIIg.L	$\mathbf{E_1}$	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	MAC		
	C <sub>1</sub>	103.7	112.8	124.9	113.8		
$M_1$	C <sub>2</sub>	209.3	230.1	240.9	226.7		
	C <sub>3</sub>	255.4	271.4	279.7	268.8		
	C <sub>1</sub>	127.3	133.4	136.6	132.4		
$M_2$	C <sub>2</sub>	232.5	260.6	274.6	255.9		
	C <sub>3</sub>	250.6	279.7	290.4	273.6		
	C <sub>1</sub>	142.3	159.0	180.7	160.6		
<b>M</b> <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	273.2	282.2	292.1	282.5		
	C <sub>3</sub>	283.4	290.5	296.1	290.0		
LSD	0.05	6.636			5.571		
Organic ferti	lizer averages	208.6	224.4	235.1			
LSD	0.05		1.743				
		C	xE				
		$E_1$	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	C averages		
(		124.4	135.0	147.4	135.6		
(	$\mathbb{C}_2$	230.8	256.8	268.6	252.1		
(	$\mathbb{C}_3$	270.7	281.3	289.3	280.4		
LSD	0.05	5.484			5.562		
		Ν	I x E				
		$E_1$	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	M averages		
Ν	11	225.4	243.0	255.7	241.4		
Ν	12	211.0	225.4	234.4	223.6		
Ν	13	189.5	204.7	215.2	203.1		
LSD	0.05		N.S		2.051		

Leaf content of nitrogen (%)						
Drumin a lovala	CDDU ma I ·1	Or	MNC			
I I uning levels	CPPU mg.L	E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	MAC	
	C <sub>1</sub>	1.373	1.480	1.570	1.474	
$M_1$	$C_2$	1.616	1.826	2.130	1.857	
	C <sub>3</sub>	2.523	2.583	2.683	2.596	
	C <sub>1</sub>	1.730	1.826	2.056	1.871	
$M_2$	$C_2$	1.953	2.213	2.320	2.162	
	C <sub>3</sub>	2.736	2.786	2.840	2.787	
	C <sub>1</sub>	1.896	2.120	2.266	2.094	
<b>M</b> <sub>3</sub>	$C_2$	2.413	2.466	2.526	2.468	
	C <sub>3</sub>	2.856	2.900	2.960	2.905	
LSD 0.05		0.067			0.044	
Organic fertilizer averages		2.122	2.244	2.372		
LSD	0.05			-		
		С	хE			
		$E_1$	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	C averages	
(	$\mathbb{C}_1$	1.666	1.808	1.964	1.813	
(	$\mathbb{C}_2$	1.994	2.168	2.325	2.163	
(	$\mathbb{C}_3$	2.705	2.756	2.827	2.763	
LSD	0.05		0.042		0.031	
M x E						
		$E_1$	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	M averages	
Ν	/11	2.388	2.495	2.584	2.489	
Ν	42	2.140	2.275	2.405	2.273	
Ν	13	1.837	1.963	2.127	1.976	
LSD	0.05	N.S			0.012	

**Table 5 :** The effect of pruning levels, spraying CPPU and the addition of organic fertilizers and their interactions in the leaf content of nitrogen (%) of the Olivette Noier grape variety for the 2019 season

Table 6 : The effect of pruning levels, spraying CPPU and the addition of organic fertilizers and their interactions in the	he leaf
content of phosphorus (%) of the Olivette Noier grape variety for the 2019 season	

		Leaf content of	f phosphorus (%)		
Druning lavale	CDDLI mg L <sup>-1</sup>	Or	MVC		
I fulling levels	CFFU ling.L	$E_1$	$E_2$	$E_3$	IVI A C
	C <sub>1</sub>	0.438	0.454	0.466	0.453
$\mathbf{M}_1$	$C_2$	0.597	0.608	0.665	0.623
	C <sub>3</sub>	0.791	0.802	0.868	0.820
	C <sub>1</sub>	0.479	0.493	0.500	0.490
$M_2$	C <sub>2</sub>	0.683	0.693	0.701	0.692
	C <sub>3</sub>	0.881	0.893	0.898	0.891
	C <sub>1</sub>	0.509	0.570	0.583	0.554
$M_3$	$C_2$	0.759	0.769	0.782	0.770
	C <sub>3</sub>	0.906	1.104	1.117	1.104
LSD	LSD 0.05		0.021		
Organic ferti	lizer averages	0.671	0.710	0.731	
LSD	0.05	0.015			
		C	хE		
		$E_1$	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	C averages
(	$r_{1}$	0.475	0.506	0.516	0.499
(	$\mathbb{C}_2$	0.680	0.690	0.716	0.695
(	$\mathbb{C}_3$	0.859	0.933	0.961	0.918
LSD	LSD 0.05		0.013		
		Μ	хE		
		E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	M averages
M1		0.725	0.814	0.827	0.803
M2		0.681	0.693	0.700	0.685
Ν	43	0.609	0.621	0.666	0.629
LSD	0.05	0.011		0.010	

Leaf content of potassium (%)							
Durning lovels	CDDU ma L <sup>-1</sup>	Or	мхс				
r i uning levels	CPPU mg.L	E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	E <sub>3</sub>	MAC		
	C <sub>1</sub>	1.638	1.643	1.635	1.644		
$M_1$	C <sub>2</sub>	1.793	1.847	1.857	1.833		
	C <sub>3</sub>	1.986	1.991	2.002	1.993		
	$C_1$	1.666	1.680	1.690	1.679		
$M_2$	$C_2$	1.867	1.877	1.893	1.879		
	C <sub>3</sub>	2.004	2.037	2.052	2.031		
	C <sub>1</sub>	1.705	1.709	1.715	1.710		
<b>M</b> <sub>3</sub>	$C_2$	1.954	1.964	1.976	1.964		
	C <sub>3</sub>	2.068	2.093	2.113	2.091		
LSD 0.05		0.029			N.S		
Organic fertilizer averages		1.853	1.871	1.883			
LSD 0.05							
		C	хE				
		$E_1$	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	C averages		
(	$\Sigma_1$	1.670	1.677	1.686	1.678		
(	$\mathbb{C}_2$	1.871	1.896	1.909	1.892		
(	$\Sigma_3$	2.019	2.040	2.055	2.038		
LSD	0.05	N.S			0.018		
M x E							
		$\overline{E}_1$	E <sub>2</sub>	$E_3$	M averages		
Ν	11	1.909	1.922	1.935	1.922		
Ν	12	1.846	1.865	1.878	1.863		
Ν	13	1.806	1.827	1.837	1.823		
LSD	0.05	N.S			0.013		

**Table 7 :** The effect of pruning levels, spraying CPPU and the addition of organic fertilizers and their interactions in the leaf content of potassium (%) of the Olivette Noier grape variety for the 2019 season

## Discussion

The pruning levels increase the number of total leaves by leaving levels of eyes on the vine, and the reason is due to the increase in the numbers of the main branches at the high pruning level as shown in Table 1. Therefore, the relationship is directly between the number of branches and the number of main leaves because with the increase in the number of eyes, the number of branches formed on vine increases (Poenaru, 1980; Atrushi, 2009; Farhan et al., 2018). Whereas the increase in the percentage of dry matter in leaves as shown in Table 2 was due to the increase in leaf area through production food by photosynthesis and increasing the carbohydrates produced in the leaves. Additionally, the increase in the leaf chlorophyll content at the lower level of pruning as shown in Table 4 was due to the increase in the leaf area as shown in Table 3. Besides the efficiency of the leaves in production chlorophyll by drawing nitrogen to it and thus the synthesis of amino acids and proteins and synthesis vital parts of the vine, including green plastids that contain half total nitrogen content 70% of the leaf nitrogen that contributes to the synthesis of chlorophyll pigments (As-Sahaf, 1989; Author, 2014; Al-Obaidi, 2017). However, the increase in nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium as shown in Tables 5-6-7 at the low pruning level, it may be due to an increase in the productivity and sizes of leaves, which improved the photosynthesis process. Since phosphorous is necessary for normal growth and photosynthesis and regulates many processes of metabolism and absorbs by a high level from the plant tissue. (Tucker, 1999; Ameer, 2013; Al Duri, 2014; Al Bayati, 2015). Pruning works on penetrates the light inside the vine, which increases the efficiency of photosynthesis, and these results are consistent with (Atrushi, 2009; Farhan et al., 2018). The increase in the number of leaves as shown in Table 1 due to the role of cytokinin in the formation of axillary buds on vegetative growth and thus developing of the chloroplast, which in turn affects physiological processes such as photosynthesis and respiration. As well as, the transfer of nutrients from the root to the leaf that leads to an increase in the leaf area as shown in Table 3 by increasing the cells division, their differentiation, elongation, and delays in aging (Zhang and Whiting, 2013; Zeng et al., 2016). Moreover, the increase in dry weight of leaves as shown in Table 2, may due to an increase in the internal hormonal content. Cytokinin stabilizes the  $CO_2$  molecule in the photosynthesis process by affecting the effectiveness of carbonic anhydrase enzyme, which increases the absorption of CO<sub>2</sub>, and this increases the dry weight (Bhat et al., 2011; Sadeghi and Shekafandeh, 2014). Chlorophyll increasing as a result of forming chloroplasts with light, forming a chlorophyll pigment, preventing its decomposition and increasing the efficiency of photosynthesis, which leads to an increase in the number of leaves that reflects positively on the amount of chlorophyll (Davies, 2004; Samurai, 2016; Al-Mamouri, 2018). In addition, Cytokinin has a physiological and vital role in stimulating the vital activities of trees in increasing root growth, which increases the susceptibility of the vine to absorb nutrients. Similarly, it increases the opening of stomata, which increases transpiration, and this speeds up the process of absorption and water evaporation, so the elements accumulate in leaves (Cakmakc et al., 2006; Al-Mamouri, 2018). It also plays a role in increasing vital functions, secondary metabolism and carbonic fixation, which facilitates the movement of the elements, thus increasing the leaf content of K-P-N (Paridan and Anniekak, 2009; Samurai, 2016), and these results are consistent with (Bhat et al., 2011; AL-ahbaby, 2016). The increase in the number of leaves and the leaf area as shown in Tables 1-3 was due to that the liquid organic fertilizer containing the major elements, some amino and organic acids. As well as, vitamins, plant hormones substance that have a role in encouraging elongation and division of cells, improving the physical characteristics by increasing the readiness of nutrients and their absorption by the root hairs of vines. Likewise, a fertilizer containing NPK elements contribute effectively to increase the vital processes of forming the basic compounds of photosynthesis and respiration processes, which leads to the accumulation of produced materials in plant tissues. Also, it transfers the surplus of them to the plant parts and the shoot and thus positively reflected on the increase of the dry matter in the leaves as shown in Table 2, (Osman et al., 2010; Hammoud et al., 2013; Al-Amir 2017). The increasing in leaf content of chlorophyll as shown in Table 4 is due to the role of this fertilizer in processing nutrients for the plant, especially nitrogen, as it is included in the synthesis of amino acids and protein that contribute to synthesis vital parts, including plastids (Taiz et al., 2006; Kaabi, 2015). The organic fertilizer also increases the leaf content of these elements by increasing the activity and effectiveness of microorganisms and bacteria, especially Azotobactor chroococcum, which increases the root absorption of these elements and their transmission to the vegetative parts of the vine (El-Shenawy and Fayed, 2005; Al-Bayati, 2015). Finally, it also works to reduce the pH, which increases the readiness of nutrients at the root hairs region (Al-Araji and Al-Hamdani, 2012) and increases its absorption and transmission to the parts of the vine and then increases the leaf content of these elements, these results are consistent with (Hammoud et al., 2013; Birjely and Al-Atrushy, 2017).

#### References

- Al Mamouri, H.I.H.H. (2018). The effect of the addition of cows residues and spraying with the growth stimulus iRoot on the growth of grapes seedling. Master Thesis. College of Agriculture. University of Baghdad.
- Al-Ahbaby, A.J.A. (2016). The effect of foliar spraying with KT-30, Brassinolide and seaweeds extract in some vegetative growth of seedlings vine varieties *Vitis vinifera* L. Hallawani and Kamali. Al-Anbar J. of Agr. Sci., Vol.: 41special No., 2016.
- Al-Ameer, Z.H.A. (2017). Effect of using organic Stimulant and mineral fertilizers on Growth of Grape Transplant CV. Summer Royal. Master Thesis. College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad.
- Al-Amir, Z.H.A.R. (2017). The effect of treatment with organic stimulants and mineral leaf fertilizer on the growth of grape seedlings of the Summer Royal variety. Master Thesis. Faculty of Agriculture, University of Baghdad, Iraq.
- Al-Araji, J.M.A. and Al-Hamdani, R.I.A. (2012). Organic farming and the environment. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research University of Mosul College of Agriculture and Forestry Iraq.
- Al-Atroshi, S.M.M. (2009). The effect of the eyes number and spraying with potassium and copper on vegetative

growth, productivity and quality of grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) Zark cultivar. Ph.D. thesis, College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul, Iraq.

- Al-Atrushi, S.M.M. (2009). Effect of eyes number and foliar sprays of potassium and copper on vegetative growth, productivity, and quality of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) Cv. Zarak under non-irrigated conditions. Ph.D. Thesis, College of Agriculture and Forester, University of Mosul, Iraq.
- Al-Atrushy, Sh.M.M. (2019). Effect of Foliar nutrient application of micronutrients and canopy management on yield and quality of Grapevine (*Vitis vinfera* L) cv. Mirane. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 50(2): 626-637.
- Al-Bayati, M.R.S. (2015). Study of the effect of pruning and fertilization levels with humic acid and foliar application with gibberellic acid (GA3) on growth, mineral content and yield of two cultivars of seedless grapes Sultana Thompson and Pednick. *Vitis vinifera* L. Ph.D. thesis. College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul.
- Al–Bayati, M.R.S. (2015). Study the effect of pruning levels, humic acid fertilization and foliar application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on growth, minerals content, and productivity of two seedless grape cultivars (sultana Thompson wbed-enik). Ph.D. thesis, College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul, Iraq.
- Al-Doori, M.F.L. (2014). Effect of pruning levels and some canopy management on vegetative growth, yield quantity and quality of three grape cultivars (*Vitis vinifera* L.). PH.D. Thesis, College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul, Iraq.
- Al-Douri, M.F.L.H. (2014). The effect of pruning levels and some vegetative management processes on vegetative growth, quantity and quality of three grape cultivars (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Ph.D. thesis. College of Agriculture, University of Mosul.
- Al-Kaabi, M.M.H.M. (2015). The effect of adding seaweed extract and spraying with a nutrient solution on the growth and yield of two summer royal grapes and Crimson. Master Thesis. Anbar University. College of Agriculture.
- Al-Kaabi, Magda Mohammed Hassan Muhaibes (2015). The effect of adding marine algae extract and spraying with a nutrient solution on the growth and yield of two summer royal grapes and Crimson. Master Thesis. Anbar University. College of Agriculture.
- AL-Mmamori, H.A.H. (2018). Effect of cow manure and foliar application of i Root promoter on growth of grape transplants "Halawani" Master Thesis. College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad.
- Al-Muhammadi, S.M. and Al-Muhammadi, F.M. (2012). Statistics and experiment design. Amman, Jordan, Dar Osama for Publishing.
- Al-Musli, M.A. (2012). Medical plants mentioned by the heavenly books. Dar AL Namothagia for Printing and Publishing Saida. Beirut. Lebanon.
- Al-Obeidi, M.A. (2017). Effect of training method and foliar application of some nutrients on growth of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) C.V. Halawani. Master Thesis. College of Agriculture, University of Diyala.

- Al-Saeedi, I.H.M. (2000). Grape production. House of Books for Printing and Publishing. University of Al Mosul. Iraq.
- Al-Sahaf, F.H. (1989). Applied Plant Nutrition. Dar Al Hikma for Publishing. University of Baghdad, Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research - Iraq
- Al-Samarra, Wajidi Abd almajeed Hameed (201(6. Effect of Disper Bloom GS Nutrient Solution and growth regulator KT-30 in some growth and yield Hallawani and Bald Black of the grape –vine (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Master Thesis. Tikrit University.
- Al-Taei, Salah Al-Din H.M. (2010). Effect of inoculation with *Glomus mosseae* and humic acid in increasing the efficiency of using chemical fertilizers for maize crop in gypsum soils. Master Thesis, College of Agriculture, Salahuddin University, Iraq.
- Al-Ubaidi, M.A. (2017). Effect of the breeding and spraying method with some nutrients on the characteristics of vegetative growth and the mineral content of grape seedlings *Vitis vinifera* L. Halawani cultivar. Master degree. College of Agriculture, Diyala University.
- Ameer, M. (2013). Performance of Vitis vinifera cultivar Flame seedless Grapevine under Different Node Load per Centimeter square of Trunk Cross-sectional Area. Asian Journal of Crop Science, 5(2): 139–152.
- Author, D.W. (2014). Growing Tree Fruit in Colorado Garden S.Coloado State University.
- Bhat, Z.A.; Rashid, R. and Bhat, J.A. (2011). Effect of plant growth regulators on leaf number, leaf area, and leaf dry matter in grape. Not Sci. Biol., 3(1): 87–90.
- Birjely, H.M.S. and Al-Atrushy, S.M.M. (2017). Effect of some organic and non-Organic fertilizers on some parameters of growth and berries quality of grape cv. Kamali. Kufa Journal for Agricultural Sciences, 9(3): 121-174.
- Central Agricultural Statistics System (2018). Summer fruit production report. Ministry of Planning, Baghdad, Iraq.
- Davies, P.J. (2004). Plant Hormones Biosynthesis, Signal Transduction, Action. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht/ Boston /London.
- Dimovska, V.I.; Petropulos, A.S. and Ilieva, F. (2014). Flame seedless grape variety (*Vitis vinifera* L.) and different concentrations of gibberellic acid (GA3). Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 20(1): 137–142.
- Dvornic, V. (1965). Lucraripactic de ampelogrphic E.Didactictapeda – gogica Bucureseti R.S. Romania.
- El-Shenawy, I.E. and Fayed, T.A. (2005). Evaluation of the conventional to organic and Bio-fertilization on Crimson Seedless grapevine in comparison with chemical fertilizer I. Vegetative growth and nutritional status. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 20: 192–211.
- F.A.O. (2018). FAOSTATE Agriculture statistics database <u>http://www.Fao.org.</u>
- Farhan, M.A.; Salman, A.T. and Ghadah, A.A. (2018). Effect of Spraying Organic Fertilizer and Grapes Fruiting Eyes Number on some of the Characteristics of Growth and Yield of the Local Cultivar, White. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 9(7): 627-629.
- Goodwin, T.W. (1976). Chemistry & Biochemistry of plant pigment 2nd Academic. Press. London. New York. San Francisco: 373.

- Hammoud, A.S.; Muhammad, T.A.M. and Hana, A.H. (2013). The effect of N.P fertilizer and foliar application on growth indicators and chemical content of grape seedlings leaves. variety *Vitis vinifera* L. University of Karbala Scientific Journal - 11th folder third issue.
- Hammoud, M.S.; Muhammad, T.A.M.; Hana, A.H. (2013). The effect of fertilizer N. P and spraying leaves (Vejamino) on growth Parameters and the chemical content of the leaves for the grape seedlings (Kamali CV.). Journal of the University of Karbala Scientific, 11(3).
- Haynes, R.J. (1980). A comparison of two modified Kjeldahl digestion techniques for multi-elements plant analysis with conventional wet and dry ashing methods. Communein. Soil Sci. Plant Analysis. 11(5): 459-467.
- Jackson, M.L. (1958). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall Inc. Englewood Cliff. N.J.
- Jones, J.B. and Steyn, W.J.A. (1973). Sampling, handling and analyzing plant tissue samples. P.248-268. Soil testing and plant analysis. Ed. by Walsh, L.M .and J.D. Beaton. Soil Science Society of America, Inc, 677 South Segee Rd, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Nampila, R.; Bing-Shiun Chen; Ching-Cheng Chen and Yau-Shiang Yang (2010). Effect of GA3 and CPPU on beery size of seedless grapes. Horti- culture NCHU, 35(3): 53-64.
- Osman, S.M. (2010). Effect of mineral, Bio-NPK soil application of young olive trees and foliar fertilization on leaf and shoot chemical composition. Research journal of agriculture and biological science, 6(3): 311-318.
- Page, A.I. (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis .part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Amer. Soc. Agron. Midison. Wisconsin.
- Parida, T. and Anniekak, M. (2009). Investigating the use of plant growth regulators in Newzealand and Australia university crops competition New Zealand study tour project report.
- Poenaru, I. (1980). Taierea vitei de vie, factor principal de eralizarea a prodyctiilor Viticole. Prod. Veget. Hort. 29(3): 32–39.
- Qasim, H.A.; Al-Obaid, R.S.; Ahmed, M.A. (2012). Saudi Society for Agricultural Sciences, College of Food and Agricultural Sciences, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia.
- Sadeghi, F. and Shekafandeh, A. (2014). Effects of 24epibrassinolide on growth, lipid peroxidation, protein and antioxidative enzyme activities in seedlings of Loquat under salinity stress. Alban. J. Agric. Sci., 13(2): 116–124.
- Samurai, Wajdi Abdul Majeed Hamad (2016). The effect of spraying with nutritional solution Disper bloom GS and growth regulator KT-30 on some characteristics of vegetative growth and yield of two varieties: *Vitis vinifera* L., Halawani and the black. Master Thesis. faculty of Agriculture. Tikrit University. Iraq.
- Taiz, L. and Zeiger, E. (2006). Plant Physiology. 4<sup>th</sup>ed, Sinauer Associates Inc. Publishers Sunderland, Massachusetts-AHS. U.S.A.
- Tucker, A.R. (1999). Essential plant nutrients: Their presence in north Carolina soils and role in plant nutrition. N.C.D.A. and C.S. Agronomic division. P: 1-10.

- Zeng, H.; Weihai, Y.; Chaozhong, L.; Wenqiu, L.; Minghong, Z.; Hangzhou, Z.; Jifeng, W. and Xuming, H. (2016). Effect of CPPU on carbohydrate and endogenous hormone levels in young macadamia fruit. Plos one, 11(7): 1–12.
- Zhang, C. and Whiting, M. (2013). Plant growth regulators improve sweet cherry fruit quality without reducing

endocarp growth. Scientia Horticulturae. 150(4): 73-79.

Zoffoli, J.P.; Latorre, B.A. and Naranjo, P. (2009). Preharvest application of growth regulators and their effect on postharvest quality of Table grape during cold storage. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 51(2): 183–192. (C. F. Taleb Abu – Zahra, 2010).